

Biomass Mass Production and Partitioning in Seedling of Harerghe Coffee Genotypes Under Deficit Irrigation at Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia

Minda Tadesse

Department of Irrigation and Water Harvesting Research, Jimma Agricultural Research Centre, Jimma, Ethiopia

Email address:

mindat9@gmail.com

To cite this article:

Minda Tadesse. Biomass Mass Production and Partitioning in Seedling of Harerghe Coffee Genotypes Under Deficit Irrigation at Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. *American Journal of Life Sciences*. Vol. 9, No. 4, 2021, pp. 67-72. doi: 10.11648/j.ajls.20210904.12

Received: May 9, 2021; **Accepted:** July 9, 2021; **Published:** July 15, 2021

Abstract: In Ethiopia, the coffee production is highly constrained by drought. To overcome such problem, knowing the behavior of coffee genotype's biomass accumulation pattern to different parts under contrasting moisture stress is important in selection of drought tolerant genotypes. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to evaluate and characterize the biomass partitioning patterns of Harerghe coffee genotypes as influenced by deficit irrigation in rain shelter at Jimma agricultural research center. An experiment was conducted in completely randomized block design with three replications, where treatments consisted of three deficit level (40, 80 and 120% of ETc) and six genotypes (H-674/98, H-739/98, H-823/98, H-981/98, H-929/98 and H-857/98). The result showed that the coffee dry-biomass partitioning patterns were significantly varied due deficit irrigation and genotypes as well as their interactions. Overall, the biomass assimilation and allocation were higher for roots (37%) under 40% ETc and finally dropped to 23% under well watered seedlings, the investment made in root at the expense of shoot in drought conditions, enables the plants to extract more water from deeper soil layers, if water is limited in upper soil layers. Conversely, the dry matters portioned to leaf were greater (48%) under well watered seedlings and finally dropped to 26% under water stressed conditions. The accumulation of more dry-matter to leaf in well irrigated environment enables the plants to enhance photosynthetic capacity and thereby improve plant growth. Lastly, the study of dry biomass partitioning patterns in different parts of coffee plant is crucial important to decide appropriate watering amount and identifying drought tolerant genotypes for future breeding program under variable climatic conditions.

Keywords: Deficit Irrigation, Biomass Production, Biomass Partitioning, Coffee Seedling, Harerghe Coffee Genotypes

1. Introduction

Coffee is one of the most important agricultural commodities, ranking second in international trade after crude oil. The total global production of green coffee is above 134.16 million bags (60 kg capacity) with a retail sales value in excess of \$22.7 billion during 2010-11 in the world market. The economics of many coffee growing countries depends heavily on the earnings from this crop. More than 100 million people in the coffee growing areas worldwide derive their income directly or indirectly from the produce of this crop [1].

Similarly, Coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) plays a significant role in the national economy of Ethiopia, contributing over 60% of the foreign exchange earnings and 30% of the

government's direct revenue. Furthermore, the livelihood of 25% of the population depends on the coffee industry. However, the average national yield of the crop is very low primarily because of lack of improved varieties for different areas, diseases and pests and seasonal water deficits [2].

Coffee Arabica belongs to shade loving plant species, and therefore most traditional cultivation is taking place under shaded conditions [1, 2]. The coffee production under shade is suffered of radiation and nutrient competition, finally may lead to restrict production. Thus, to increase yield and income intensively managed full-sun coffee production systems combined with appropriate irrigation were introduced in different parts of the world [4-7]. Yet, there have been disappointing experiences with full-sun cultivation and the consensus seems to be that under full-sun

exposure adequate resources of nutrients (e.g. N) and water need to be provided to avoid problems such as reinforced biennial bearing and branch die back [8, 9] and reduced economic lifespan of the trees [10]. However, the optimal combinations of radiation and nutrient supply are hard to define.

Likewise, serious water deficits and deteriorating environmental quality are threatening agricultural sustainability in large parts of Asia and Africa. Overall, drought and unfavorable temperatures are the major climatic limitations for coffee production. Coffee is therefore a highly environmentally-dependent crop and an increase of a few degrees of average temperature and/or short periods of drought in coffee-growing regions can substantially decrease yields of quality coffees. Taking into account the global warming phenomena, severe reductions of adequate coffees growing areas are to be expected [11].

A complementary approach to improve plant performance for drought-prone regions involves the identification and selection of traits that contribute to drought tolerance. A partial list of potentially important traits might include water-extraction efficiency, water-use efficiency, hydraulic conductance, osmotic and elastic adjustments, and modulation of leaf area. Most of these traits are complex and their control and molecular basis is not well understood [12].

The leaf areas of coffee plant are indirectly affected by translocation of dry matter to organ parts (root, trunk, leaves and branches). Based on the functional equilibrium theory [13, 14], one would expect allocation to the shoot, particularly to leaves, to increase with larger nitrogen supply, and sufficient water supply as well as larger degree of shading. The fraction of total plant dry weight allocated to leaves, leaf weight ratio is a determinant of relative growth rate of trees in early stages [15]. The allocations of biomass to leaves versus other plant part are determined by leaf weight ratio. Higher leaf weight ratio means faster expansion of leaf area and light capture than in case of low leaf weight ratio. Therefore, leaf area ratio tells us the relative investment in leaves relatively. If the environment is conducive the investment to leaves and other part is become balanced, which maintain supply and preparation of carbons.

Dry matter production in coffee or other crops depends upon the leaf area index, the rate of photosynthetic rate, and the strength of the metabolic sinks in attracting assimilates [16]. If crops are to perform optimally, they must have regulatory mechanisms to ensure that photosynthetic and nitrogen assimilates are allocated to various parts of plant in ratios that provide well balanced whole plant functions. For example, during the vegetative growth stage, assimilates should be distributed to leaf production and production of new roots in ratios that provide a balance between the capacity for photosynthesis and the capacity for acquisition of mineral nutrients and water [17].

Different researchers investigated that the tree species changes in root: shoot ratio or the temporary build up of reserves in the stem is accompanied by change in nutrient, water availability and carbon metabolism, which affects dry

matter partitioning [18-20]. According to some finding the net result of growth responses to decreased soil moisture availability is not only a decrease in plant growth rate, but a shift in the distribution of dry matter in favor of root biomass [20, 21]. The allocation of dry matter among roots and shoots in seedlings developed different, because in each condition, the amount of water available to the seedling is varied. Seedlings indicate distinct variation in morphology and proportions of distribution and allocation of dry matter in the structures and root that are formed [22]. In Ethiopia, there is limited information about soil moisture deficit on dry matter allocation into various plant parts of the coffee crop. Therefore, the objective of present study was to examine and characterize the effect of different soil moisture deficit levels on dry matter allocation patterns across different parts coffee seedlings.

2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out for about five months during 2020 in a green house at Jima Agricultural Research Center (JARC) of the Ethiopian Agricultural Research institution (EIAR), Ethiopia. The research center is located at 7°40' N latitude, 36°47' E longitude, and at an altitude of 1753m above sea level. The center receives an average annual rainfall of about 1540mm with monthly mean maximum and minimum temperatures of 25.9 and 11.3°C, respectively, and an average relative humidity of 67.2.

2.1. Experimental Design

The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design in a factorial combination with three replications, where the treatments consisted of six Harerghe genotypes (H-674/98, H-739/98, H-823/98, H-981/98, H-929/98 and H-857/98) and three irrigation levels (40, 80 and 120% ETc levels).

Each genotypes were combined with three irrigation amounts (6 genotypes X 3 irrigation treatments), then combined treatments have been randomly allocated to experimental plots. Each experimental block contained 18 plots, 6 irrigated with 40% ETc, 6 irrigated with 80% ETc and 6 irrigated with 120% ETc, 8 plants per plot and totally 432 plants were evaluated in this experiment. All irrigation treatments were scheduled at four days interval based on field capacity determination and daily evaporation, accordingly individual varieties subjected to three irrigation amounts namely, 40%, 80% and 120% ETc.

2.2. Planting Material

Pure seeds of 6 Harerghe coffee genotypes were prepared from promising and released Harerghe coffee from verification plots, and they were sown on nursery, managed according to recommended nursery mgt standards, vigor and healthy seedling of one year had transplanted to the pots of 5 liter volume in green house. One seedling were planted in each pot and watered to field capacity to facilitate well

establishments.

2.3. Parameter Measurements

A destructive sampling method was used for determination of total dry matter production and its partitioning among plant parts. The two representative plants selected from each plot were harvested, then separated in to leaves, stem (including branches) and roots, and oven-dried at 70°C to a constant weight to determine total dry matter yield and its partitioning among leaves, stem and roots. The roots were immersed and washed in clean water to remove adhering soil. The dry-biomass partitioning to each plant body (leaf, stem, and root) were determined using equation1:

$$PP(\%) = \frac{PPDW}{TDY} * 100 \quad (1)$$

Where, PP=percent of dry biomass partitioned to leaf, stem or root separately, PPDW=plant part dry weight (leaf, stem and root), TDY=total dry matter yield (TDY=SDW+LDW+RDW), SDW=stem dry weight, LDW=leaf dry weight, RDW=leaf dry weight.

2.4. Field Capacity and Daily Evaporation Determination

Sun-dried nursery soil was filled in pots, each with five liter capacity. The pots were weighed and watered to drip point, covered with a polyethylene sheet to prevent evaporation and allow free drainage for 24 hours. Then, the pots were reweighed to determine the field capacity (FC) of the soil and daily evaporation losses. The moisture content on dry weight basis was determined by gravimetric method (drying soil samples in an oven at 105°C to a constant weight) using 150–200g wet soil samples taken from wetted pots just after 24hrs and the loss of water on daily base were estimated on v/v of percent. The pots were weighted daily for five consecutive days and the amount of moisture lost as evaporation (ETc) was calculated as the difference between initial pot weight and final pot weight. Then obtained weight (g/day/pot) was converted to water (liter/days/pot/). To make sure the calculation, soil sample was taken daily for five consecutive days and moisture loss due to evaporation were calculated. Based on these two methods the amount of irrigation water needed per day was determined and based up on daily evaporation loss, the irrigation scheduling was set to each four days.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed in R-software with agricolae package [23, 24], using the general linear model procedure for factorial completely randomized block design. Significant differences between treatment means were separated using the Fisher's LSD-procedure at $p < 0.05$.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Dry Biomass Partitioning

Our result showed that, the percent of dry biomass

distribution to leaves, stems and roots were quite different with fluctuating soil moistures. Therefore, the accumulation distribution of the dry biomass to the leaves, stems and roots were highly significantly ($P < 0.0001$) affected due to variety, irrigations and interactions. Accordingly, the greater and lower dry biomass accumulation had allocated to roots and leaves respectively in 40% ETc level. In contrast, dry biomass partitioning was not significantly varied for leaves and roots in both 80% and 120% ETc levels. Plants treated under 40%ETc had developed mechanisms of adjustments to drought in maintaining lower leaf dry matter and greater roots dry matter that enable them to save existing water and extract additional water from bottom of pots. The finding of Lahai and Ekanayake [25] on cassava depicted that excess moisture stress increased dry matter accumulation in rootstock, fibrous and storage roots, but decreased partitioning to stems and leaves. Drought stress reduced dry matter allocation to storage roots, but increased partitioning to rootstocks, fibrous roots and stems. The proportion of total dry matter partitioned to roots was higher while dry matter allocation to leaves was lower for the partial root zone drying treatment than in well-watered coffee plants [19].

3.2. Dry Biomass Accumulation in Leaves

The interaction of variety and irrigation level on leaves dry mater partitioning were highly significant different ($p < 0.001$) for coffee seedling. Accordingly H-823/98 (40.1%) allocated more proportion of dry biomass to leaves in all irrigation regimes. in similar way, the dry biomass accumulation proportion to leaves among three irrigation were significantly ($p < 0.0001$) varied. But, there were no difference in biomass accumulation proportion to leaves under both 80% ETc and 120% ETc of irrigations. Interestingly, at 40% ETc, the biomass accumulation proportion in leaves was about 24.2% and 22.8% lower than 120% and 80%ETc treatments, respectively. Irrespective of genotypes, H-929 genotype had accumulated greater dry matter proportions to leaves under 80%ETc and followed by H-739 (44.6%) under 120% ETc., whereas, the lower dry biomass accumulation proportion to leaves were recorded from H-957/98 (26.1%), H-981/98 (31.3%), H-929/98 (31.1%), H-739 (31.6%) and H-674 (31.4%) genotypes under 40%ETc. Among these, H-857/98 genotype had significantly reduced dry matter allocation proportion to leaves under limiting soil moisture, 40% ETc when compared to other treatments. In general, the fraction of dry matter allocated to leaves increased from 26% at 40% ETc to 48% at 120% ETc., which demonstrated that as supply of moisture increase the leaf growth increased and vise-verse. This implies that the coffee seedling cope with limiting soil moisture by maintaining of lower dry biomass accumulation proportion to leaves, thereby, reduce evaporation and conserving existing moisture. Similar research has been investigated by Tesfaye [19] that the proportion of total dry matter partitioned to roots was higher and biomass allocation to leaves was lower for the partial root zone drying than in well-watered plants.

3.3. Dry Biomass Accumulation in Stem

The dry biomass accumulation proportion in stems were significantly ($p < 0.001$) affected due to irrigation and varieties. Irrespective of genotypes highly significant ($p < 0.001$) different was noted. H-674/98 (35.9%) and H-823 (30.6%) genotypes accumulated greater and lower dry biomass allocation proportion in stems respectively. The irrigation treatment had significantly ($p < 0.001$) altered the biomass accumulation proportion in stems, consequently, 40%ETc irrigation had accumulated higher biomass proportion in stems relatively. Whereas, the lower proportion of biomass accumulation in stem were recorded from 80% ETc (32.9%) and 120% ETc (32%), and there were no significances difference between 80%ETc and 120% ETc of irrigations.

Irrespective of interactions, the greater biomass accumulation proportion had recorded from, H-739/98 (38.3%), H-857 /98 (37.1%) and H-739 (36.4%) under 40% ETc and H-929 (39.2%) under 120%ETc. whereas, lower biomass accumulation proportion to stem observed in H-929/98 (27.8%) and H-739 (29.7%) under 80%ETc. This indicated that under stress condition (40%ETc) most coffee seedling accumulated higher dry biomass proportions to stems than leaves relatively, to reduce evaporating surface.

3.4. Dry Biomass Accumulation to Roots

There were significant ($p < 0.0001$) dry biomass accumulation proportion differences in roots due to variety and irrigations. Regardless of genotypes, H-823 (29.3%) and H-857 (29.6%) genotypes had accumulated higher dry matter proportions in roots and lower accumulation in H-929 (25.8%). There were highly significant ($p < 0.01$) differences among irrigation treatments. Interestingly, under 40%ETc of irrigation dry biomass partition in roots were significantly increased by about 25.9% and 24.5% than 80%ETc and 120% ETc, respectively. In contrast, the variation in dry biomass accumulation proportion for root was not noticed under 80% and 120%ETc irrigation treatments. Moreover, the greater biomass allocation proportion to roots was observed in H-857/98 (36.6%) and H-981/98 (33.82%) under 40%ETc irrigation interactions and while the lower dry biomass partition to roots were recorded from H-929 (22.5%) under 120% ETc and H-674 (23%), H-929 (23.8%) and H-981/98 (24.1%) under 80%ETc. Therefore, the growth and biomass allocation to different plant organ is more or less balanced under well watered plants (80% and 120% ETc), which enhance carbon assimilation through improved photosynthetic capacity. Nearly all genotypes accumulated more biomass to roots as supplies of moisture become decreased. Whilst, for some genotypes, the fraction of biomass portioned to root become dropped under excess moisture supply condition. The investment made in the root organ at expense of shoot is to overcome limited moisture and extract more water from the deeper soil layer, if moisture is limited in soil layer within rooting depth. This finding agrees with Taye [20] who reported that higher root dry

mass in drought- stressed than in well-irrigated seedlings. This response could suggest a drought-stress tolerance strategy investing more of the daily biomass production in the root system at the expense of the shoot system; enable the plants for searching moisture from deeper soil layer. Also similar works has been reported by Tesfaye [19], indicating that the proportion of total dry matter partitioned to roots was higher and biomass allocation to leaves was lower for soil moisture stressed treatment than in well-watered plants.

Table 1. Effects of genotypes and different irrigation regimes on dry matter accumulation distribution to roots, stems and leaves.

Treatments	Stem (%)	Leaf (%)	Root (%)
variety	**	**	**
H674	35.96a	37.50bc	26.53bc
H739	32.70c	38.77ab	28.50ab
H823	30.57d	40.19a	29.29a
H857	33.87bc	36.54c	29.60a
H929	34.90b	39.20ab	25.89c
H981	33.60bc	37.99bc	28.39ab
LSD @0.05	1.6	2.02	2.2
CV (%)	5.1	5.4	8.15
Irrigation	***	***	**
40%ETc	35.70ab	31.84b	32.37a
80%ETc	32.99b	41.27a	25.70b
120%ETc	32.01b	41.99a	25.99b
LSD @ 0.05	1.17	1.4	1.5
Variety X Irrigation	***	***	***

Means followed by the same letters in column are not statistically different at 5% level for Least Significant Difference Test. *Significant at $p < 0.05$, **significant at $p < 0.01$ and *** significant at $p < 0.001$.

Table 2. Interaction effects of different irrigation regimes and genotypes on dry matter accumulation distribution to roots, stems and leaves.

Variety	Irrigation Levels	Stem (%)	Leaf (%)	Root (%)
H674	40% ETc	36.4abc	33.45f	30.12b-d
H674	80% ETc	36.03bc	40.92cd	23.05gh
H674	120% ETc	35.40c	38.14de	26.44d-g
H739	40% ETc	38.30ab	31.64f	30.00b-d
H739	80% ETc	29.70ef	40.10cde	30.18b-d
H739	120% ETc	30.14ef	44.60b	25.29e-h
H823	40% ETc	30.35ef	37.30e	32.29bc
H823	80% ETc	30.70e	40.40cde	28.83c-d
H823	120% ETc	30.40ef	42.80cd	26.70d-g
H857	40% ETc	37.12abc	26.106g	36.66a
H857	80% ETc	32.50de	41.47bcd	26.01e-h
H857	120% ETc	31.90e	41.90cd	26.12e-h
H929	40% ETc	37.60abc	31.10f	31.29bc
H929	80% ETc	27.89f	48.31a	23.81f-h
H929	120% ETc	39.17a	38.23de	22.58h
H981	40% ETc	34.82cd	31.36f	33.82ab
H981	80% ETc	35.15cd	40.76cd	24.10f-h
H981	120% ETc	30.873e	41.87cd	27.25d-f
LSD @ 0.05		2.8	3.34	3.8

Means followed by the same letters in column are not statistically different at 5% level for Least Significant Difference Test. *Significant at $p < 0.05$, **significant at $p < 0.01$ and *** significant at $p < 0.001$.




Figure 1. Fraction of biomass allocated to root and leaf.

4. Conclusion

From this experiment we concluded that the biomass production and allocation pattern varied due to deficit irrigation as well as genotypes or their combinations. Coffee root system compromised 37% of total plant under 40% ETc level, finally dropped to 23% under 120 ETc level; suggesting that such greater variation is possibly as consequence of watering amount. In detail, in drought condition, the coffee seedling accumulates greater dry matter to roots at the expense of shoots, then able to extract more water from deeper soil. In contrast, in fully irrigated coffee, they tend to accumulate more dry-matter to shoots than roots to enhance photosynthetic capacity. Therefore, this finding provides important information which helps to evaluate and characterize growth response of coffee genotypes to induced deficit irrigation. The result also helps to identify drought tolerant genotypes for drought prone locations like Hararhe area under changing climatic condition. However, to understand the adaptation mechanism among coffee genotypes, it requires a detail study at field experiment under contrasting environmental conditions.

References

- [1] ICO. 2010. International Coffee Organization. Annual Review. <http://www.ico.org/>
- [2] Tesfaye, S. G, Ismail, M. R., and Mahmood, M., 2008. Effects of deficit irrigation and partial rootzone drying on growth, dry matter partitioning and water use efficiency in young coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) plants. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment* Vol. 6 (3 & 4): 312-317. 2008.
- [3] Araujo WL, Dias PC, Moraes GA, Celin EF, Cunha RL, Barros RS, DaMatta FM (2008) Limitations to photosynthesis in coffee leaves from different canopy positions. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 46: 884-89.
- [4] DaMatta FM, Ronchi CP, Maestri M, Barros RS (2007) Ecophysiology of coffee growth and production. *Braz J Plant Physiol* 19: 485-510.
- [5] Bruno, I. P., Reichardt, K., Bortolotto, R. P., Pinto, V. M., Bacchi, O. O. S., Dourado-Neto, D. and Unkovich, M. J., 2015. Nitrogen balance and fertigation use efficiency in a field coffee crop. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 38 (13), pp. 2055-2076.
- [6] Harmand J-M, Ávila H, Dambrine E, Skiba U, de Miguel S, Renderos RV, Oliver R, Jiménez F, Beer J (2007) Nitrogen dynamics and soil nitrate retention in a *Coffea arabica*—*Eucalyptus deglupta* agroforestry system in Southern Costa Rica. *Biogeochem* 85: 125-139.
- [7] Jaramillo-Botero C, Santos RHS, Martinez HEP, Cecon PR, Fardin MP (2010) Production and vegetative growth of coffee trees under fertilization and shade levels. *Scientia Agricola* 67: 639-645.
- [8] Fahl J, Carelli M, Vega J, Magalhães A (1994) Nitrogen and irradiance levels affecting net photosynthesis and growth of young coffee plants (*Coffea arabica* L.). *J Hort Sci* 69: 161-170.
- [9] Siles P, Harmand J-M, Vaast P (2010) Effects of *Inga densiflora* on the microclimate of coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) and overall biomass under optimal growing conditions in Costa Rica. *Agroforestry Systems* 78: 269-286.
- [10] Perfecto, I., Rice, R. A., Greenberg, R. and Van der Voort, M. E., 1996. Shade coffee: a disappearing refuge for biodiversity: shade coffee plantations can contain as much biodiversity as forest habitats. *BioScience*, 46 (8), pp. 598-608.
- [11] DaMatta, F. M. and Ramalho, J. D. C., 2006. Impacts of drought and temperature stress on coffee physiology and production: a review. *Brazilian journal of plant physiology*, 18 (1), pp. 55-81.
- [12] DaMatta, F. M., 2004. Exploring drought tolerance in coffee: a physiological approach with some insights for plant breeding. *Brazilian journal of plant physiology*, 16 (1), pp. 1-6.
- [13] Brouwer R (1962) Nutritive influences on the distribution of dry matter in the plant. *Neth J Agric Sci* 10: 399-408.

- [14] Lambers H (1983) The functional equilibrium, nibbling on the edges of a paradigm. *Neth J Agric Sci* 31: 305-311.
- [15] Poorter H, Remkes C (1990) Leaf area ratio and net assimilation rate of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate. *Oecologia* 83: 553-55.
- [16] CHB. 1987. Coffee Hand Book (CHB). Coffee Growers Association, Harare, Zimbabwe, Canon Press (Pvt) Ltd.
- [17] Setter, T. L. 1992. Assimilate allocation in response to water deficit stress. *International Crop Science*. pp. 733-735. Crop Science of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- [18] Chaves, R. M., Ten-Caten, A., Pinheiro, H. A., Ribeiro, A. and Damatta, F. M. (2008) Seasonal changes in photoprotective mechanisms of leaves from shaded and unshaded field-grown coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) trees. *Trees*, 22, 351-361. Doi: 10.1007/s00468-007-0190-7.
- [19] Tesfaye, S. G., 2008. Effects of deficit irrigation and partial rootzone drying on growth, dry matter partitioning and water use efficiency in young coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) plants.
- [20] Taye, K. 2012. Biomass production and distribution in seedlings of *Coffea arabica* genotypes under contrasting nursery environments in southwestern Ethiopia. *Agricultural Sciences*, 3 (06), p. 835.
- [21] James S. McDonald, Tom Ericsson and Carl-Magnus Larsson. 1996 Plant nutrition, dry matter gain and partitioning at the whole-plant level. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 47: 1245-1253.
- [22] Ericsson T. 1995. Growth and shootroot ratio of seedlings in relation to nutrient availability. *Plant and Soil* 168/169: 205-14.
- [23] Mendiburu, F. and deMendiburu, M. F., 2019. Package 'agricolae'. R Package, Version, pp. 1-2.
- [24] Team, R. C., 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- [25] Lahai, M. and Ekanayake, I., 2009. Accumulation and distribution of dry matter in relation to root yield of cassava under a fluctuating water table in inland valley ecology. *African Journal of Biotechnology* Vol. 8 (19), pp. 4895-4905.